This article was downloaded by: On: 29 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK

To cite this Article Darabi, Hossein Reza , Mohebbi, Ali Reza and Bolourtchian, Mohammad(2003) 'Synthesis and Characterization of Novel Stilbenophanes: the Smallest Members of this Class of Compounds', Supramolecular Chemistry, 15: 6, $465 - 468$

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/10610270310001599195 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10610270310001599195>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Synthesis and Characterization of Novel Stilbenophanes: the Smallest Members of this Class of Compounds

HOSSEIN REZA DARABI*, ALI REZA MOHEBBI and MOHAMMAD BOLOURTCHIAN

Chemistry and Chemical Engineering Research Center of Iran (CCERCI), P.O. Box 14335-186, Tehran, Iran

Received (in Southampton, UK) 30 April 2003; Accepted 18 June 2003

The synthesis, properties and energy-optimized structure of stilbenophanes 1a-3a are reported. ¹H NMR spectroscopy of 1a shows it contains vinylic protons (unlike larger stilbenophanes), which appear as two doublets showing its unexpected configuration. Protons of the methylene bridge of 1b are also observed at different chemical shift, showing that they are diastereomeric protons. It is also found that the stereochemical outcome of the intramolecular McMurry reaction is strongly influenced by the length of the alkyl bridge.

Keywords: Stilbenophane; Cyclization; McMurry reaction; Ab initio

The design and synthesis of stilbenophanes is of interest to provide molecular hosts in supramolecular chemistry [1–8]. Recently, we have reported the synthesis and selective complexation of isomers 1b and 2b with lithium ions. Moreover, we found that isomer trans-1b is the first example of a stilbenophane with a short intramolecular $C-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bond in the solid state (crystal) [9]. We have also reported the synthesis, properties and structure of 2,2'-ortho(metaxylene)stilbenophanes [10]. These interesting findings caused us to continue our study of this class of stilbenophanes.

Herein, we wish to focus on the synthesis and properties of 1a–3a, the smallest members of this class of compounds known to date. The compounds are characterized by NMR, IR and mass spectroscopy.

Although all attempts to obtain a suitable crystal failed, ab initio calculations confirmed that calculated structures are in agreement with spectroscopic data. The calculations were performed at the Hartree-Fock (HF) level of theory using the STO-3G basis set for the fully optimized geometries.

Our approach strategy to the target molecules involves the intramolecular reductive coupling of dialdehydes 4, using a two-step synthesis, from salicylaldehyde. In order to improve the yields of products, we investigated the McMurry reaction of dialdehydes 4 under several conditions. In general, the use of a mixed solvent of toluene—DME or toluene—THF, as shown in Table I, gives the best yield for products.

The results listed in Table I show that the stereochemical outcome of the intramolecular McMurry reaction is strongly influenced by the length of the alkyl bridge. Dialdehyde 4a, unlike 4b, obviously prefers an intermolecular coupling to intramolecular coupling and gave dimer 3a as the major product. This means that the torsional angle between the two aldehyde groups in the molecule plays a basic role in the competition between inter- and intramolecular coupling, because this angle is directly dependent on the length of the alkyl bridge in 4.

 H and ^{13}C NMR spectra of 1a and 2a show different patterns in comparison with those of 1b and 2b, respectively. As shown in Fig. 1, in

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: darabi@ccerci.ac.ir

ISSN 1061-0278 print/ISSN 1029-0478 online q 2003 Taylor & Francis Ltd DOI: 10.1080/10610270310001599195

TABLE I Synthesis of stilbenophanes 1–3 by McMurry coupling of dialdehydes 4

Dialdehyde				
4a	THF			15
4b	THF	13		
4b	DME	10		
4a	THF-toluene (2:1)	21	14	47
4a	DME-toluene (2:1)	15	25	48
4 _b	THF-toluene (2:1)	51	36	8

SCHEME 1 *ab initio* Calculated structure of 1a by the STO-3G method. Top: plan view; bottom: side view.

trans-isomer 1a, the vinylic protons (unlike in 1b [9]) appear as two doublets. This shows that the vinylic protons are exposed to different chemical fields. As shown in Scheme 1, one proton of the vinylic group is nearer to the oxygens and is observed at lower field (5.42 ppm) than the other vinylic proton (5.11 ppm). The methylene protons are observed as a singlet at 5.45 ppm. The vinylic carbon signal was observed at 76.20 ppm, showing the existence of strain in the structure of 1a. These data are in agreement with the *ab initio* structure calculated using the STO-3G method. The *cis*-isomer 2a shows a different NMR pattern. While the vinylic protons appear as one sharp singlet at 6.90 ppm, the methylene protons are observed as a doublet at 5.02–5.05 ppm, which is in agreement with its calculated structure.

The energy minimization calculations were carried out by ab initio methods using STO-3G as the basis set [11]. Although the energy-minimized conformation/geometry depends very much on the local geometry from the starting model, we found that the energy-minimized structure in the case of 1b is in good agreement with the X-ray structure in terms of the conformation and the cavity dimensions of the stilbenophane.

On the basis of our calculations the cis-isomer 2a cannot adopt a molecular geometry in which the ethylene and phenyl moieties are coplanar. The two phenyl units are facing each other in a virtually fixed arrangement perpendicular to the plane of the linking ethylene double bond.

However, the geometry of the trans-isomer 1a is different. It has also non-coplanar structure. The molecular deviates from planarity by a twist of about 58° around the phenyl–ethylene single bonds in order to accommodate the hydrogens of the trans-ethylene moiety and oxygen at an intramolecular distance of about 2.56 and 3.89 Å , respectively (Table II).

The complexation ability of both isomers with alkali metal ions was studied by conductivity titration in acetonitrile. Both isomers 1a and 2a formed very weak complexes with lithium and other alkali ions ($pK < 1$). For the complex formation, we considered two possiblities: one is the case when the Li ion is in the center of the cavity and the other is the case where the Li ion is directed towards the outer

TABLE II Selected data for the calculated structures of 1a,b and 2a,b

Entry	$C=C^*$		Ar/Ar ¹		$C1 - C17 = C16 - C15$		$Ar/ethylene^{\ddagger}$		$CH \cdot \cdot \cdot O$	
A B	122 124.5	123.6 124	26.1 16.5	0.1 0.1	34 9.3	0.1 0.1	57.5 45.5	77.2 89.4	2.56 2.65	3.80 3.14

* Ethylene bond angle shown as C=C. † Angle between the planes formed by the two aromatic rings shown as Ar/Ar. ‡Angle between the planes formed by the aromatic rings and ethylene bond shown as Ar/ethylene.

side of the phenyl rings. The theoretical calculations (STO-3G) confirmed the second possibility.

Further investigations on the synthesis and properties of analogous molecules are currently in progress.

EXPERIMENTAL

The experiments were conducted in flame-dried glassware under an inert atmosphere of argon unless otherwise noted. The solvents and reagents used in each experiment were dried and purified according to accepted procedures. Melting points were determined on Büchi 530 apparatus and are uncorrected. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker-80 and 250 spectrometers. All NMR samples were run in $CDCl₃$ and chemical shifts are expressed in ppm relative to internal Me₄Si. Infrared spectra (IR) were observed on a FT-IR Mattson 1000 spectrometer. Mass spectra were obtained on a FTSONS instrument. Column chromatography was carried out with Merck Art.7734 kieselgel 60, 70-230 mesh ASTM. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and dimethoxyethane (DME) were freshly distilled under a nitrogen atmosphere from sodium–benzophenone prior to use. Salicylaldehyde, HMPA, and dibromomethane all are commercially available and were used without further purification.

Synthesis of Bis(carbonyl) Ether 4a

Compound 4a was prepared according to the literature [12].

Bis(carbonyl) Ether 4a: colorless crystals, mp $132^{\circ}C$; ¹H NMR (90 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.93 (s, 2H), 7.05–7.15 (m, 2H), 7.25–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.43–7.75 (dd, 2H), 10.34 $(s, 2H)$; ¹³C NMR (22.5 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 188.43, 158.08, 135.80, 128.13, 124.95, 122.67, 114.19, 89.95; IR (KBr) $\text{[cm}^{-1}\text{]}$ 2850, 1670, 1580, 740; m/z (rel. intensity, %) $256 (M^+, 5)$, 135 (80), 121 (100), 77 (40).

Synthesis of Compounds 1a–3c

Compounds 1a–3c were prepared according to the literature [12] with the difference that both solvent

(THF–toluene, 2:1) and reflux time were changed. The reflux time was changed from 6–20 h to 4–6 h.

(Z)-Stilbenophane 1a: colorless liquid, ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 7.39 (d, J = 7.52 Hz), 7.16 (t, J₁ = 7.52 Hz, $J_2 = 7.96$ Hz), 6.96 (d, $J = 0.89$ Hz), 6.94 (d, $J = 0.89$ Hz), 6.93–6.89 (m), 6.90 (s), 5.02–5.05 (d); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 153.96, 128.40, 125.14, 121.56, 121.27, 117.09, 90.30, 76.23; IR (KBr) $\text{[cm}^{-1}\text{]}$ 3039, 2940, 1660, 1555, 1464, 1230, 1083, 1058, 968, 755; MS (EI), m/z (rel. intensity, %) 224 (20), 223 (90), 210 (80), 181 (60), 152 (50).

(E)-Stilbenophane 2a: colorless needles; mp 140°C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.25–7.27 $(d, J = 7.70 \text{ Hz})$, 7.18 $(t, J = 7.74, 7.96, 1.55 \text{ Hz})$, 6.97 $(d, J = 7.52, 1.10 \text{ Hz})$, 6.91 $(t, J = 7.30, 1.33, 1.10 \text{ Hz})$, 5.47 (s), 5.42 (d, $J = 7.75$ Hz), 5.11 (d, $J = 7.75$ Hz); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 145.91, 130.30, 127.17, 126.02, 124.48, 123.49, 123.46, 121.02, 120.79, 117.50, 117.44, 115.14, 111.03, 103.30, 73.98; IR (KBr) $\text{[cm}^{-1}\text{]}$ 2995, 1619, 1460, 788; MS (EI) m/z (rel. intensity, %) 224 (10), 210 (90), 152 (5), 135 (15).

 (E,Z) -Cyclic dimer 3a: colorless needles; mp 149 $^{\circ}$ C; ${}^{1}\text{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.40 (d, 2H), 7.18 (dd, 2H), 6.90–6.95 (m, 6H), 5.10 (d, 4H); 13C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3)$ δ 153.34, 130.32, 127.47, 124.48, 123.46, 121.25, 120.59, 117.83, 116.02, 111.03, 103.28; MS (EI) m/z (rel. intensity, %) 448 (50).

References

- [1] Futterer, T.; Merz, A.; Lex, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 611–613.
- [2] Furstner, A.; Seidel, G.; Kopiske, C.; Kruger, C.; Mynott, R. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1996, 655–662.
- [3] Izatt, R. M.; Pawlak, K.; Bradshaw, J. S.; Bruening, R. L. Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 1721–2085.
- [4] Merz, A.; Karl, A.; Futterer, T.; Stacherdinger, N.; Schneider, O.; Lex, J.; Luboch, E.; Biernat, J. F. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1994, 1199–1209.
- [5] Ben, I.; Castedo, L.; Saa, J. M.; Seijas, J. A.; Suau, R.; Tojo, G. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 2236–2240.
- [6] Tirado-Rives, J.; Gandour, R. D.; Fronczek, F. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 1639–1642.
- [7] Merz, A.; Futterer, T.; Lex, J.; Inerowicz, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 278–280.
- [8] Cram, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 1039.
- [9] Darabi, H. R.; Mirza-Aghayan, M.; Ali-Saraie, L.; Bolourtchian, M.; Neumüller, B.; Ghassemzadeh, M. Supramol. Chem. 2003, 15, 55–58.
- [10] Mirza-Aghayan, M.; Darabi, H. R.; Ali-Saraie, L.; Ghassemzadeh, M.; Bolourtchian, M.; Jalali-Heravi, M.; Neumüller, B. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2002, 628, 681-684.

[11] Theoretical calculations were performed with the Gaussian 98 program system with gradient geometry optimization. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr; Stratmann, R. E.; Burant, J. C.; Dapprich, S.; Millam, J. M.; Daniels, A. D.; Kudin, K. N.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Cossi, M.; Cammi, R.; Mennucci, B.; Pomelli, C.; Adamo, C.; Clifford, S.; Ochterski, J.; Petersson, G. A.; Ayala, P. Y.; Cui, Q.; Morokuma, K.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari,

K.; Foresman, J. B.; Cioslowski, J.; Ortiz, J. V.; Baboul, A. G.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Gomperts, R.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Andres, J. L.; Gonzalez, C.; Head-Gordon, M.; Replogle, E. S.; Pople, J. A. Gaussian 98; Gaussian, Inc. Pittsburgh, PA, 1998; revision A.7.

[12] Tirado-Rives, J.; Oliver, M.; Fronczek, F. R.; Gandour, R. D. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 1627–1634.